

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,139	04/15/2004	Mark Fellows	10826.3801	3138
22235 7:	590 09/22/2006	EXAMINER		
MALIN HALEY AND DIMAGGIO, PA			FOX, CHARLES A	
1936 S ANDREWS AVENUE FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33316			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3652	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,139	FELLOWS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles A. Fox	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	July 2006.				
,—-					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application	۱.	-			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	·			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		A Little At a la Mila			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.			
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	• •			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,139

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutton in view of Norsic. Regarding claims 1 and 2 Dutton US 3,796,331 teaches a system for handling debris comprising:

a vehicle (4) having a bed (98) for receiving refuse;

a means (22) for grasping refuse from a polymer container and place it in said bed;

wherein said vehicle includes an arm (87) to reach over and into said container. Dutton does not teach the system as using a steel container. Norsic (previously cited website) teaches a variety of steel container that are less than 6 feet tall, less than 9 feet long and less than 6 feet wide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the system taught by Dutton with a steel container as taught by Norsic in order to allow the container to better stand up under repeated loadings and unloading of debris.

Regarding claim 3 Dutton teaches a method of removing refuse comprising the steps of:

providing a polymeric container for refuse;

periodically picking up said refuse via a truck with a bed and hydraulic grapple;

Application/Control Number: 10/709,139

Art Unit: 3652

wherein said refuse is grasped by said grapple and placed into said truck.

Dutton does not teach providing a steel container. Norsic teaches providing a steel container to store construction debris and a truck to empty said container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to modify the methods taught by Dutton with those taught by Norsic in order to provide a system with longer lasting components.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on July 14, 2006 have been entered into the record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has invoked 35 U.S.C. 112 sixth paragraph in relation to the disclosed hydraulic grapple device. As such the Dutton reference teaches a grasping device that meets and exceeds the instant disclosure for a grasping device. Many of the other cited references that are not used in rejections also teach a grasping device as disclosed by applicant.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/709,139

Art Unit: 3652

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon, but considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is:

Redman (1963) a vehicle with a refuse grapple;

Heffington (1973) a vehicle with a refuse grapple;

Carson (1977) a vehicle with a refuse grapple;

Movsesian (1995) a portable hydraulic grapple;

Kalua (1998) a vehicle with a refuse grapple.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/709,139

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CAF

PATRICK MACKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY GENTER 3600